## **EXHIBIT I**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CAUSE NO. 1:14-cr-00229-JMS-MJD
Indianapolis, Indiana
AISIN SEIKI CO., LTD. (01), Wednesday, February 4, 2015
2:07 o'clock p.m.

Defendant. )

Before the HONORABLE JANE MAGNUS-STINSON

TRANSCRIPT OF INITIAL HEARING, CHANGE OF PLEA,
AND SENTENCING HEARING

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

By: Michael N. Loterstein and

Andrew K.M. Rosa

Suite 600, Rookery Building 209 South LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

FOR THE DEFENDANT: Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

By: Jeremy Calsyn

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006-1801

ALSO PRESENT: The Defendant representative,

Masami Nomizo, in person.

COURT REPORTER: Jean A. Knepley, RDR, CRR, CCP, FCRR

46 East Ohio Street, Room 309 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

PROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY MACHINE SHORTHAND COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

```
1
   the middle of the page right under U.S.S.G. You find it?
 2
   Okay.
 3
        Applying the multiplier to the base fine of 22.7 yields a
 4
   quidelines fine range of 36.3 million to 72.6 million prior to
 5
   any departures. Does the Government agree?
 6
             MR. LOTERSTEIN:
                              Yes, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Does the company agree?
 8
             MR. CALSYN: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: And then after the various increases and
 9
10
   deductions, the parties have agreed to $35.8 million fine?
11
                                 That's correct, Your Honor.
             MR. CALSYN:
                          Yes.
12
             MR. LOTERSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor.
13
                        Mr. Nomizo, the company has the right to
             THE COURT:
14
   address the Court both through your attorney and through a
15
   personal statement. Mr. Calsyn?
16
             MR. CALSYN: Yes. I believe Mr. Nomizo does have a
17
   short statement that he would like read in English, so I think
18
   we need to put the microphone on him.
19
             THE DEFENDANT: (In English) Yes, Your Honor, I
20
   would like to say --
21
        THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, could we clip it in his
22
   tie?
        It would be better.
23
        THE DEFENDANT: (In English) I would like to say some
24
   words in my English. Aisin accept responsibility for the
2.5
   conduct at issue. Aisin was established in 1965, so this year
```

will be the 50th anniversary. In addition, Aisin made the first plant of the United States here in Indiana almost 30 years ago. Aisin take its corporate responsibility seriously and never forget this day here in Indianapolis. So we are strongly committed to taking all the steps necessary to comply with the law not only in USA but globally.

Aisin has cooperated with the department, the Department

Aisin has cooperated with the department, the Department of Justice, in the investigation and also has taken steps to strengthen its commitment to antitrust compliance to ensure this type of issue does not occur again. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Calsyn on behalf of the company?

MR. CALSYN: Yes, Your Honor. I think I would just echo the words that Mr. Nomizo just spoke. The company certainly takes this event very seriously. I think it is the first time the company has heard the word "subpoena" and the first time they have been in this situation.

As Mr. Nomizo said, the company has taken a lot of steps already to improve its compliance policies and as he said, make sure this doesn't happen again. So we would ask that the sentence be entered as it provides in the plea as the plea provides.

THE COURT: Thank you.

For the Government?

MR. LOTERSTEIN: Very briefly, Your Honor. The

THE COURT: Seventeen. In Paragraph A -- I am not exactly reading this, I am sort of paraphrasing. Okay.

So first the Court must impose a sentence that is sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of the sentencing statute. The Court must consider the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the Defendant.

The Court finds the conspiracy here was serious, of long duration, and involving high-level officials of the company.

However, it is the first offense and the Court hopes the last.

The Court finds that the proposed fine is equally serious and as the Government argued, will promote respect for the law and provide a just punishment. It is also significant enough to deter future criminal conduct. Also the Court finds that it is similar to other sentences imposed upon other defendants for similar crimes.

The only concern the Court had about the proposed sentence was the issue of restitution, but the Government has adequately addressed that in its recent filing. The victims of the case are sophisticated and capable of pursuing their own recoveries. So the Court will accept the plea agreement of the parties and enter the plea of guilty and judgment of conviction, and I will now state the proposed sentence.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant, Aisin Seiki Company,